

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking into the operation of interruptible load programs offered by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company and the effect of these programs on energy prices, other demand responsiveness programs, and the reliability of the electric system.

Rulemaking 00-10-002
(Filed October 5, 2000)

Phase 2

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING CHANGING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE ON VOLTAGE REDUCTION ISSUES**

Hearing was held on October 11, 2001 regarding voltage reduction issues. At the end of hearing, I adopted the following post-hearing schedule:

DATE	ITEM
October 15, 2001	Exhibit 7 served
October 17, 2001	Exhibit 7 received as evidence absent objection filed and served no later than October 17, 2001 (with receipt of Exhibit 7 determined by subsequent ruling if an objection is filed and served)
October 19, 2001	Opening briefs filed and served
October 25, 2001	Reply briefs filed and served

On October 12, 2001, I discussed the briefing schedule, or left messages, with each party that actively participated at hearing. Further, on October 12, 2001, I received a telephone call from Michael Montoya representing Southern California Edison Company.

Mr. Montoya requested a delay in the briefing schedule, and specifically proposed November 2, 2001 for opening briefs, and November 9, 2001 for reply briefs. He stated that the proposed change was unopposed by Pacific Gas & Electric Company, The Utility Reform Network, and the Office of Ratepayer Advocates. Moreover, based on my conversations with several parties, I know parties have differing views on the briefing schedule, but I am unaware of specific objection to the proposed change.

The requested change provides additional opportunity for parties to agree on a common outline for briefs, and address all matters thoroughly, including the proposal to waive a utility's liability for a customer's loss and damage that may result from reduced voltage. Further, the schedule change seeks to minimize conflict with other ongoing events and schedules.

As a result, the briefing schedule is modified. The schedule for Exhibit 7 remains the same. Consistent with the practice for other Phase 2 matters, filing may be up to, but no later than, one business day after the date for service. (See September 21, 2001 Phase 2 Scoping Memo and Ruling, Ordering Paragraph 6 and Attachment B.)

IT IS RULED that the following post-hearing schedule is adopted:

DATE	ITEM
October 15, 2001	Exhibit 7 served
October 17, 2001	Exhibit 7 received as evidence absent objection filed and served no later than October 17, 2001 (with receipt of Exhibit 7 determined by subsequent ruling if an objection is filed and served)
November 2, 2001	Opening briefs filed and served
November 9, 2001	Reply briefs filed and served

Dated October 15, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ BURTON W. MATTSON

Burton W. Mattson
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Changing Briefing Schedule on Voltage Reduction Issues on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record on the Phase 2 Service List. In addition, service was also performed by electronic mail.

Dated October 15, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ FANNIE SID

Fannie Sid

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.